IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.11442-M of 2007
Date of Decision:- 05.10.2007

S.C.Bhandari ....Petitioner in person.

vs.

State of Haryana & others ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr.Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is to direct respondents No.2 and 3, namely, the Police Authorities to take cognizance of the complaint made by the petitioner and register a case against the private respondents.

It appears that in order to find out *prima facie* substance in the allegations made by the petitioner, this Court directed the Additional Director General of Police, (C.I.D.), Haryana to hold an investigation independently and submit a report.

The Additional Director General of Police, (C.I.D.), Haryana submitted his report dated 20.6.2007 which does not prove the petitioner's allegations and has concluded that “there is no substance in the allegations levelled by the petitioner.”

In these circumstances and having heard the petitioner in person and learned counsel for the respondents, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that notwithstanding the above-stated enquiry, he may, if so advised, file a private criminal complaint.

October 05, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**